

CONSULTATION RESPONSE TO PLANNING OR RELATED APPLICATION

Commonte provided	Deede Dienning Comise	Contact e-mail/number:			
Comments provided by	Roads Planning Service	Contact e-mail/number:			
Officer Name and	Craig Johnston	Craig.johnston@scotborders.gov.uk			
Post:	Roads Planning Officer	01835 826856			
Date of reply	27/02/2023	Consultee reference:			
		0			
Planning Application	23/00034/PPP	Case Officer:			
Reference		Alla Hassan			
Applicant	Mr Kenneth Short				
Agent	Aitken Turnbull Architects Ltd				
Proposed	Erection of dwellinghouse				
Development Site Location	Land North Of Bolson Cottago, Jodhurgh Scottich Bordore				
Sile Location	Land North Of Belses Cottage Jedburgh Scottish Borders				
as they relate to the a	rea of expertise of that consulte	of the consultee on the submitted application ee. A decision on the application can only be consultations and material considerations.			
Key Issues					
(Bullet points)					
Assessment	The submitted application discusses the possibility of the site taking access via the existing field access or via a new junction onto the B6400. I have concerns regarding these access options, but my overriding concern is on the principle of further development at Belses. There is a community to some extent in the wider Belses area, with sporadic groups of development along the B6400. There will no doubt be a desire for pedestrians to travel between these building groups, but there is no infrastructure in place to support this such as a footway or street lighting. The absence of a proper village environment means there is no justification for a local speed limit. These factors do not bode well for pedestrian movement between the groups and so I am not generally in favour of additions to the groups. When considering the access options for the dwelling, I have further concerns. The primary function of a derestricted 'B' class road such as the B6400 is to provide for the safe and expeditious movement of traffic and, as such, the number of direct accesses onto such roads should be limited. Therefore, I am opposed to the principle of new accesses proposal, utilising the existing field gate, has its own issues. The junction of the B6400 and the 'C' Class road, which this access is adjacent to, has restricted visibility due to its alignment when considering a vehicle waiting to turn right off the B6400. With the site access location so close to the public road junction it means fellow drivers are likely to be caught out by the manoeuvre of a car turning into the private access which could result in a minor collision. For a vehicle waiting to make a right turn out of the site, the visibility available to the driver is restricted by the fact he/she would have to look over their right shoulder to see any vehicle travelling west on the B6400. This is not a manoeuvre I am able to endorse.				

	visibility splay based on a pro-rata calculation. I would look for the splay to be based on the next standard speed up which is 70 kph (43 mph). This would result in a distance of 120m being required based on these results. Notwithstanding whether an acceptable access can be achieved, I must object to this application on the grounds that it would result in additional development within an area that does not have the appropriate road infrastructure to cater for it, particularly when considering pedestrians.				
Recommendation	🛛 Object	Do not object	Do not object, subject to conditions	Further information required	
Reason for Objection:	I object to this proposal, as it does not comply with the Council's Local Development Plan Policy PMD2, which seeks to ensure that a development has no adverse impact on road safety.				

AJS